

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/755,708 01/12/2004		01/12/2004	Mark R. Fernald	WEAT/0553 9757		
36735	7590	12/15/2006		EXAMINER		
		ERIDAN, L.L.P.	HUGHES, JAMES P			
3040 POST OAK BOULEVARD, SUITE 1500 HOUSTON, TX 77056			500	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				0000		

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/755,708	FERNALD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
James P. Hughes	2883		

	James P. Hughes	2883	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a control of the control of			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	maliant Amendment	(PTOL -324)
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		impliant Americinent	(FTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants statement that the elements of all claims rejected are not taught or suggested by any of the prior art rejections is not persuasive because, at least, Waiters (6,033,515) in view of Maas et al. (5,157,751) as discussed on pages 3-4 of the Final Office Action, read on claim 1.

Frank G. Font
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800